



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,250	01/15/2004	Douglas Melton Carper	121497 (07783-0172)	6395
31450 7590 07/24/2007 MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 100 PINE STREET P.O. BOX 1166 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1166			EXAMINER MAYES, MELVIN C	
			ART UNIT 1734	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/24/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/758,250	Applicant(s) CARPER ET AL.	
	Examiner Melvin Curtis Mayes	Art Unit 1734	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

(1)

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Brief Description of the Drawings does not refer to Figures 6 and 7.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(2)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

(3)

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Steibel et al. 6,280,550 in view of JP 6-137103 and Baldwin et al. 5,279,892.

Steibel et al. 6,280,550 discloses a method of making a composite turbine blade comprising: providing first reinforcement comprising an insert preform of silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite preform having porosity); optionally depositing matrix material to fill only a portion of the porosity of the insert preform (silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity); providing second reinforcement comprising silicon carbide fabric plies (outer shell section preform); applying the silicon carbide fabric plies to contact the insert and define the surface shape of the blade; and depositing matrix material into the porosity of the first and second reinforcement, the depositing

also providing bonding between the first and second reinforcements. Matrix material may be deposited by melt infiltration of silicon so that the matrix is silicon carbide or mixture of silicon and silicon carbide (col. 2-7). Steibel et al. do not disclose providing the composite turbine blade with a dovetail section by inserting an insert preform in the dovetail section.

JP 6-137103 teaches that a fiber reinforced composite turbine blade, such as of fiber strengthening ceramic (ceramic matrix composite), is made with a dovetail section using reinforcing fiber which extends from the dovetail section to the blade part (Abstract and computer translation).

Baldwin teaches that in making composite airfoils (fan blades), inserts or "preforms" are provided in both the blade part to form the core of the blade and in the root part (dovetail) of the blade. The inserts are made to be of the same composite material as the composite material layered over the inserts to form the composite blade. Using inserts enhance producibility and eliminate hundreds of prepreg layers, especially in the thick root sections (col. 2, lines 13-57, col. 4, lines 44-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Steibel et al. for making a composite turbine blade by making the turbine blade with a dovetail section, as taught by JP '103, as provided as part of a turbine blade and also made during the fabrication of a fiber reinforced composite blade. Providing the fabric plies (outer shell section preform) to extend from the blade part to a dovetail section to form both the blade and dovetail section of a turbine blade in one step of matrix deposition would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as JP '103 teaches that the reinforcing fiber for a turbine blade extends from the blade section to the dovetail section.

Providing an insert preform not only in the blade section but also in the dovetail section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as Baldwin teaches that a composite fan blade having a root part (dovetail) is provided with insert (insert preform) not only in the blade part but also in the dovetail part in order to enhance producibility and reduce the number of prepreg layers, especially in the thick dovetail section. Providing an insert (insert preform) in the dovetail section as silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite preform having porosity), or silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an insert preform in the dovetail section similar to that provided in the blade section to allow for deposition of matrix by silicon melt infiltration and bonding between the preform and the fabric plies, as disclosed by Steibel et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, in making a silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite turbine blade using insert preforms in both the blade part and dovetail part, to provide the insert preforms as similar in composition to each other and to the fabric plies which are to contact the insert preforms, as Baldwin et al. teach that the insert in the blade part and dovetail part are similar in composition and to that of the prepreg layers (plies) to enhance producibility and to reduce the number of prepreg layers required, especially in the thick dovetail part of the blade. The use of the same type of insert preform in the dovetail section as used in the blade section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as clearly suggested by Baldwin, to make a composite blade.

Further, by providing a second reinforcement of silicon carbide fabric plies for defining the surface shape of the blade and into which silicon can be deposited by met infiltration, an outer shell preform having at least some porosity is obviously provided.

(4)

Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steibel et al. 6,280,550 in view of JP 6-137103, Baldwin et al. 5,049,036 and Steibel et al. 6,258,737.

Steibel et al. 6,280,550 discloses a method of making a composite turbine blade comprising: providing first reinforcement comprising an insert preform of silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite preform having porosity); optionally depositing matrix material to fill only a portion of the porosity of the insert preform (silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity); providing second reinforcement comprising silicon carbide fabric plies (preform); applying the silicon carbide fabric plies to contact the insert preform and define the surface shape of the blade; and depositing matrix material into the porosity of the first and second reinforcement, the depositing also providing bonding between the first and second reinforcements. Matrix material may be deposited by melt infiltration of silicon so that the matrix is silicon carbide or mixture of silicon and silicon carbide. As shown in Figure 7, the insert is provided in the dovetail section of the blade (col. 2-7). Steibel et al. do not specifically disclose providing the second reinforcement as plies of silicon carbide prepreg cloth or disclose providing the composite turbine blade with a dovetail section by inserting a insert preform in the dovetail section.

JP 6-137103 teaches that a fiber reinforced composite turbine blade, such as of fiber strengthening ceramic (ceramic matrix composite), is made with a dovetail section using reinforcing fiber which extended from the dovetail section to the blade part (Abstract and computer translation).

Art Unit: 1734

Baldwin teaches that in making composite airfoils (fan blades), inserts or "preforms" are provided in both the blade part to form the core of the blade and in the root part (dovetail) of the blade. The preform inserts are made to be of the same composite material as the composite material layered over the inserts to form the composite blade. Using insert enhances producibility and eliminates the hundreds of prepreg layers, especially in the thick root sections (col. 2, lines 13-57, col. 4, lines 44-46).

Steibel et al. '737 teaches that in making a silicon carbide composite by melt infiltration with silicon, the silicon carbide fiber fabric is impregnated with high char yield slurry to form a prepreg before melt infiltration. The use of a high char yielding resin improves increases burn-out strength, produces a hard, tough preform and provides integrity to the preform structure during silicon melt infiltration. Steibel et al. further teach that before melt infiltration, the impregnated fabric (prepregged cloth) is either subjected to compression molding, bladder molding or autoclaving to form a preform for melt infiltration. Steibel et al. also teach that carbon of micrometer particle size provided in silicon carbide preforms to give different composite properties of structure (col. 5, line 50 - col. 6, line 11, col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Steibel et al. for making a composite turbine blade by making the turbine blade with a dovetail section, as taught by JP '103 as provided as part of a turbine blade and also made during the fabrication of a fiber reinforced composite blade. Providing the fabric plies (outer shell section preform) to extend from the blade part to a dovetail section to form both the blade and dovetail section of a turbine blade in one step of matrix deposition would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 1734

one of ordinary skill in the art, as JP '103 teaches that the reinforcing fiber for a turbine blade extends from the blade to the dovetail section.

Providing an insert preform not only in the blade section but also in the dovetail section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as Baldwin teaches that a composite fan blade having a root part (dovetail) is provided with insert (insert preform) not only in the blade part but also in the dovetail part in order to enhance producibility and reduce the number of prepreg layers, especially in the thick dovetail section. Providing an insert (insert preform) in the dovetail section as silicon carbide fabric rigidized by deposited silicon carbide (silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite preform having porosity), or silicon-silicon carbide composite preform having some porosity, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an insert preform in the dovetail section similar to that provided in the blade section to allow for deposition of matrix by silicon melt infiltration and bonding between the preform and the fabric plies, as disclosed by Steibel et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, in making a silicon carbide-silicon carbide composite turbine blade using insert preforms in both the blade part and dovetail part, to provide the insert preforms as similar in composition to each other and to the fabric plies which are to contact the insert preforms, as Baldwin et al. teach that the insert in the blade part and dovetail part are similar in composition and to that of the prepreg layers (plies) to enhance producibility and to reduce the number of prepreg layers required, especially in the thick dovetail part of the blade. The use of the same type of insert preform in the dovetail section as used in the blade section would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as clearly suggested by Baldwin, to make a composite blade.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified the method of Steibel et al. for making a composite turbine blade by providing the second reinforcement as impregnated with high char yielding slurry (pregregged or a preform) before contacting the insert preform, as taught by Steibel et al. '737, as impregnated in silicon carbon fiber fabric before silicon melt infiltration to increase burn-out strength, produce a hard, tough preform and provide integrity during silicon melt infiltration.

Autoclaving the assembly of second reinforcement prepreg and insert preform before silicon melt infiltration, as claimed in Claim 12, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as taught by Steibel et al. '737, to aid in forming the prepreg into preform shape before melt infiltration. It would have been obvious to have autoclaved to help shape the prepregged plies into the surface shape of the blade.

Providing the silicon-silicon carbide insert preform with carbon microspheres, as claimed in Claims 14 and 19, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as taught by Steibel et al. '737, as added to silicon carbide preforms to give different composite properties of structure. The use of carbon microspheres in either of the insert preform or second reinforcement preform would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art depending on desired composites properties of the insert or the surface of the composite turbine blade.

Response to Arguments

(5)

As clearly taught by Baldwin, the use of an insert preform in both the blade and dovetail sections of composite fan blades is known in the art. While Baldwin is not directed specifically

Art Unit: 1734

to ceramic matrix composite fan blades, the reference is pertinent because it teaches that when making a composite blade, composite insert preforms are used in the blade and dovetail sections with prepreg layers overlying the inserts. This is similar to the method of Steibel et al. of making a ceramic matrix composite blade by layering fabric plies over a composite insert preform for making a composite blade. Use of an insert preform is clearly suggested for the thick dovetail section to reduce the number of prepreg (fabric plies) required in the dovetail section. Metallic preform inserts are clearly not the only type of inserts known for use in the dovetail section when making composite blades.

Conclusion

(6)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


(7)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 571-272-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Phillip C. Tucker can be reached on 571-272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Melvin Curtis Mayes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1734

MCM
July 18, 2007